

COMMISSIONERS SUSAN RITTER SMI

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SUSAN BITTER SMITH, Chairman BOB STUMP BOB BURNS DOUG LITTLE TOM FORESE

RECEIVED

2015 FEB 17 P 4: 39

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATE COMMISSION

In the matter of:

CONCORDIA FINANCING COMPANY, LTD, a/k/a "CONCORDIA FINANCE,"

ER FINANCIAL & ADVISORY SERVICES, LLC,

LANCE MICHAEL BERSCH, and

DAVID JOHN WANZEK and LINDA WANZEK, husband and wife,

Respondents.

ORIGINAL

Docket No. S-20906A-14-0063

APPLICATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE SUBPOENA

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

FEB 1 7 2015

DOCKETED BY

TO THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION:

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1823 and A.A.C. R14-3-109, Respondents, ER Financial & Advisory Services, LLC, Lance Michael Bersch, David John Wanzek, and Linda Wanzek (the "ER Respondents") request the issuance of a subpoena in connection with the above-captioned action, commanding:

Expert Accounting Witness

to be designated by the Securities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1300 West Washington, 3rd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007

to appear before an officer authorized by law to administer oaths for a deposition taken upon oral examination, continuing from day to day until such deposition(s) have been completed, to testify under oath and to produce the documents identified in **Exhibit A**.

The ER Respondents had previously issued a Notice of Deposition (Rule 30(b)(6)) for this deposition on November 24, 2014, but at the February 11, 2015 Procedural Conference the Administrative Law Judge instructed the ER Respondents to obtain an administrative subpoena to proceed with the deposition.

There is a reasonable need for the deposition, in order for the ER Respondents to prepare for the administrative hearing, because accounting expert witness for the Division is expected to be an important witness in the administrative hearing. 1 Moreover, the ER Respondents still do not know basic and critical facts regarding the administrative charges against them, including:

- the names of the 193 alleged investors; 1)
- what amount of restitution the Division seeks against them; 2)
- which of the 446 alleged investments each of them allegedly sold; 2)
- which of the 193 investors each respondent allegedly sold to; 3)
- 4) which of the respondents made the allegedly fraudulent statements, to whom and when;
- the dollar amount of the alleged securities sold by each particular respondent 6) and the amounts the investor was paid back for each of those alleged securities. Further, the administrative charges date back 15 years or more, and the ER Respondents have few remaining records to assist them in preparing their defense.² Thus, the deposition is reasonably necessary to prepare a defense.

///

///

///

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

¹ The subpoena application form on the Commission's website contains no provision for a showing of "reasonable need" and such a showing has traditionally not been required by the Commission for the issuance of a subpoena. However, this information is included to prevent an anticipated objection from the Securities Division.

² See affidavit of David Wanzek, attached as Exhibit E to the ER Respondents' Motion to Compel submitted on February 10, 2015.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 1 th day of February 2015.

By [marl]

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren

Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202

Phone: 602.382.6347

E-mail: tsabo@swlaw.com

and

Paul J. Roshka, Jr.

POLSINELLI, P.C.

One East Washington St., Suite 1200

Phoenix, AZ 85004-2568

Phone: 602.650.2098

Email: proshka@polsinelli.com

Attorneys for the ER Respondents

I	Submitted this this $\frac{1}{1}$ and day of February 2015 by	
2	hand delivery to:	
3	Executive Director's Office, Arizona Corporation Commission,	
4	1200 West Washington, 2nd Floor	
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
6	Original + 13 copies of the foregoing filed this 11 th day of February 2015, with:	
7	Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington	
8		
9	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
10	Copies of the foregoing hand-delivered/mailed	
11	thisth day of February 2015, to:	
12	Mark H. Preny, Esq.	
13	Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division	
14	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington	
15	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
16	James D. Burgess, Esq.	
17	Securities Division Arizona Corporation Commission	
18	1300 West Washington, 3rd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
19	Alan S. Baskin, Esq.	
20	David E. Wood, Esq.	
21	Baskin Richards, PLC 80 East Rio Salado Parkway, Suite 511	
22	Tempe, Arizona 85281 Attorneys for Concordia Finance Company, LTD.	
23		
24	By Jachpu Howard	
25	By - MAN MACONO 42	
26		
27		

Exhibit A

Documents to be produced

- 1. The testifying expert's entire file, pursuant to Slate v. Schneider, 212 Ariz. 176, 129 P.3d 465 (App. 2006).
- 2. All spreadsheets, electronic reports, or databases used by the testifying expert to prepare their testimony or to reach their conclusions, in native electronic format.
- 3. All accounting records received from Respondent Concordia Financing Company, Ltd., in native electronic format if applicable.
- 4. All reports generated by the testifying expert.
- 5. Supporting calculations for each conclusion of the testifying expert.

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2	COMMISSIONERS		
3	BOB STUMP - Chairman		
4	GARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS		
5	BOB BURNS SUSAN BITTER SMITH		
6	In the matter of:	Docket No. S-20906A-14-0063	
7	CONCORDIA FINANCING COMPANY, LTD,	SUBPOENA	
8	a/k/a "CONCORDIA FINANCE,"	SUBI CENA	
9	ER FINANCIAL & ADVISORY SERVICES, LLC,		
10			
11	LANCE MICHAEL BERSCH, and		
12	DAVID JOHN WANZEK and LINDA WANZEK, husband and wife,		
13	Respondents.		
14		Witness to be designated by the	
15	Securities Division	• •	
16	Arizona Corporation Commission 1300 West Washington, 3rd Floor		
17	Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
18	YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1823 and A.A.C. R14-3-		
19	109, to appear before to appear before an officer authorized by law to administer oaths for a		
20	deposition taken upon oral examination, continuing from day to day until such deposition(s) have		
21	been completed, on the 7 th day of April, 2015, at 10 o'clock a.m., to testify under oath and to produce		
22	the documents listed in Exhibit "A."		
23	YOU HAVE BEEN SUBPOENED BY:		
24	Timothy J. Sabo SNELL & WILMER, L.L.P.		
25	One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren		
26	Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 Phone: 602.382.6347		
27	E-mail: tsabo@swlaw.com		
28	Persons with a disability may request a reason interpreter, as well as request this document in an a Executive Assistant to the Executive Director sabernal@azcc.gov. Requests should be made a accommodation.	Iternative format, by contacting Shaylin A. Bernal, r, voice phone number 602-542-3931, e-mail	

1			
	and		
2	Paul J. Roshka, Jr.		
3	POLSINELLI, P.C. One East Washington St., Suite 1200 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2568		
5	Phone: 602.650.2098 Email: proshka@polsinelli.com		
6	Who are the attorneys for Respondents ER Financial & Advisory Services, LLC, Lance Michael Bersch, David John Wanzek, and Linda Wanzek		
7	DISOBEDIENCE OF THIS SUBPOENA constitutes contempt of the Arizona Corporation		
8	Commission and may subject you to further proceedings and penalties under law, pursuant to		
9	A.R.S. § 44-1825.		
10	Given under by hand the seal of the Arizona Corporation Commission this day		
11	of, 20		
12			
13	Jodi A. Jerich, Executive Director Arizona Corporation Commission		
14	Theorem commission		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation such as a sign language interpreter, as well as request this document in an alternative format, by contacting Shaylin A. Bernal, Executive Assistant to the Executive Director, voice phone number 602-542-3931, e-mail sabernal@azcc.gov . Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.		

Exhibit A

Documents to be produced

- 1. The testifying expert's entire file, pursuant to Slate v. Schneider, 212 Ariz. 176, 129 P.3d 465 (App. 2006).
- 2. All spreadsheets, electronic reports, or databases used by the testifying expert to prepare their testimony or to reach their conclusions, in native electronic format.
- 3. All accounting records received from Respondent Concordia Financing Company, Ltd., in native electronic format if applicable.
- 4. All reports generated by the testifying expert.
- 5. Supporting calculations for each conclusion of the testifying expert.